

~~SECRET~~

I. Introduction

Purpose of study:

- 1) First in a series of investigations on modus operandi and organization of Covert Soviet (Bloc) Political Action and Propaganda.

- 2) Definition of CSPA & P

- 3) Limit aspect of this study on Propaganda through Forgery.

Define P through F. Emphasize Propaganda through Forgery

only one aspect of investigation. Give some examples of other

aspects to be covered later.

II. Cases of Discovered Forgeries

Summarize briefly (one or two paras.) each major forgery case.

Summarize unimportant ones.

Append to each major case description a chart (such as used in SR

lecture) if necessary accompanied with brief text.

Tie in your over-all chart with Section II. This chart should

probably be your First Appendix since it gives the total picture.

Textually the overall chart should relate to the first para. or paras.

of Section II. since it (they) should give the over-all picture on

forgeries discovered during 19\_\_ to 19\_\_.

**III. The Technique of Soviet (BOS) Forgeries**

~~SECRET~~

**a) Political objectives**

- 1) Anti-US
- 2) Anti-Europe (Czech sample should fit in here)

**b) Target areas**

**c) Use of "secret" documents (Facsimiles, quotes, allegations)**

Types of "secret" documents fabricated (letters, cables, etc.)

**d) Source Materials**

- 1) Overt
- 2) Use of agents

**e) Crudities and Errors**

- 1) Stylistic crudities
- 2) Lack of operational data (on procedure and nomenclature of genuine docs)
- 3) Padding with Press Statements
- 4) Errors in date, etc.

Add to this other points by which forgeries can be recognized,

as per Paul's suggestion. Make para. headings specific.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

IV. Surfacing Techniques - Non-CP Press in Free World

- a) General description of the type of newspaper or magazine used. *Stress* Sources common denominator. Stress repeated use of same outlet.
- b) List of media used, with brief characterization of each, and description of involvement. (If desired b could be put in appendix.)
- c) If possible, a "list of Free World Journalists" involved in surfacing operations, e.g., *WPA* Karais, etc. with brief biographical data, should be presented here or in an appendix.

V. Surfacing Techniques - Overt Replay Combinations (Bloc Media)

Summarize the various types of replay ops and the duration of such, stressing involvement of Bloc

- a) Soviet and EE Satellites
- b) Chicom combinations (special highlights of Chicom involvement would be very useful)

VI. Surfacing Methods - Covert Replay Combinations

- a) Use of Embassies
- b) Rumors
- c) *in* Disseminate through Int'l Channels

VII. Role of UAR Media

~~SECRET~~

VIII. Role of Free World CPs and non-Communist News Agencies

IX. Notes on central planning and organization of Forgery Campaigns

a) Stress that campaigns obviously planned and coordinated. Stress lack of detailed info.

b) The Soviet Centers - Boil down your defector testimony to a few conclusions. Cement statement that K. and/or one of his top lieutenants responsible with K's role in Berry letter.

c) The East German Center - Boil down your HVA story to essentials and raise the possibility *penalty* on Soviet level.

The cases of forgeries could be listed under II.

If you think it desirable the whole section on HVA and GPR, which is a third of your paper, could go in an appendix.

X. Effectiveness of Forgery Campaigns - all your thoughts on this subject would fit better in here, and make a better ending.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

PROPAGANDA BY FORGERY

SECTION I.

A. During the past two years there has been a notable increase in the number of propaganda forgeries surfaced by the countries of the Soviet bloc. In published form, each of these documents consists of a framework of factual detail (usually accurate in general but erroneous on minor points) used to establish the necessary air of authenticity, into which is woven--through distortion or false statements--the "message" the document is designed to convey to its target audience. The propaganda content or purpose of each of the 1957-58 forgeries has identified the document as an integral part of the major Soviet bloc or Bloc/UAR propaganda line of the moment or of continuing propaganda objectives such as fanning mutual distrust among the Western Allies, distrust of the governments and peoples of the underdeveloped areas for all Western representatives and actions, etc. The BERRY (see Section IV-3-a), letter /for example, appeared at the height of the Soviet propaganda campaign against the SAC flights, to provide

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

"official confirmation" of the charge that these flights are a threat to world peace. The CHAPIN letter<sup>(see Attachment 6)</sup> was designed to cause anger and distrust on the part of the Iranian Government against the U.S. Government and its representative in Iran. Attachments five through eight carry a brief description of the content of each of the forgeries observed during the period January 1957 through September 1958. A glance at each description makes clear the relationship of that particular campaign with the Sino-Soviet bloc or Bloc/UAR propaganda line of the period concerned.

- B. The term "misinformation" as used in this paper denotes preparation and distribution of partly or wholly false information designed to guide target opinion along the desired lines. It includes propaganda forgeries as well as rumor campaigns, fabricated intelligence reports, etc. The expression "propaganda forgery" as used herein applies to propaganda in which the originator claims that his (partly or wholly false) statements are based upon secret documents.

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

The claim may be presented in any of three forms:

1. Facsimile reproduction of the alleged document. Attachments <sup>1</sup>one and <sup>2</sup>two are examples of this form of surfacing:  
  
the ROCKEFELLER letter, surfaced in the official East German CP (SED) daily Neues Deutschland on 15 February 1957 and the ROUNTREE circular surfaced on 26 July 1958 in the Cairo daily Al Ahram.
2. Verbatim quotation of the text of the alleged document, with no effort at facsimile presentation. Attachment <sup>3</sup>three is an example: the DULLES memorandum on sabotaging the proposed Summit Conference, surfaced on 7 June 1958 by the East German news agency ADN.
3. Allegation only, i.e. "disclosure" of the details of the alleged document, with no attempt at either facsimile reproduction or verbatim quotation. Attachment <sup>4</sup>four--  
  
the BISHOP directive surfaced in <sup>i.e.</sup> BLITZ on 13 July 1957 --is an example of this type. While an unsupported allegation is not, strictly speaking, a forgery, the

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

surfacing and relay system used for these forgeries-by-allegation is the same as for the other two types. As indicated on the accompanying charts (Attachments <sup>5</sup>five through <sup>8</sup>eight), allegation of the existence of the document in question is sometimes used as a prelude to surfacing in one of the other two forms, while in others the campaign may run its full course on the basis of the allegation alone

- C. The effectiveness of a given forgery depends largely upon the area at which it is targeted: In politically-sophisticated areas such as Western Europe the forged "secret document" has been so heavily used by generations of secret police and political extremists (e.g. the "faux Henry" of the Dreyfus case in France, the "Protocols of the Elders of Zion" of Czarist Russia, the products of the Hitler propaganda machine in Germany, etc.) that any "disclosure of secret

In the underdeveloped areas, however, the level of political sophistication is low and the forgeries undoubtedly find some acceptance as "confirmation" of the propaganda charges which are constantly on the Soviet bloc and UAR airwaves. That the individuals who plan and direct the forgery operations agree with this thesis is suggested by the persistence with which the forgeries are targeted at and given primary distribution to the underdeveloped areas of the world (see distribution as shown in detail on the attached charts).

- D. The following discussion of Bloc propaganda forgeries and the operational activities through which they are prepared

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

and surfaced is not a definitive report, but rather a preliminary survey of our present information. It is written for the double purpose of providing KUBARK headquarters and field personnel with background information on this phase of Bloc clandestine operations, and pointing up the need for more detailed operational coverage and reporting on specific Bloc (and UAR) operations of this type in the countries of the Free World.

---

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

come to our attention has contained errors in form, style and usage which would in themselves been sufficient to betray it as fraudulent. Each has also carried another "built-in" admission of its forged character: errors in the printed form on which the alleged State Department cable has been typed.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

evidence on this point where forgeries are concerned, but it is known that local agents are used at times as writers of propaganda and misinformation articles. Known cases of fairly recent use or suggested use of local agents for this purpose include:

- 
2. In addition to the mine of overtly-available source material which exists in the newspapers, books and periodicals of the Free World, it seems probable that agents outside the Bloc may also be used to some extent as sources of material and ideas if not of copy for use in propaganda forgeries. We have no recent

44

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

25X1C10b

Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP78-00915R001200080004-6

Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP78-00915R001200080004-6

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

SECTION IV.

SURFACING AND REPLAY - METHODS USED

Methods of surfacing and relay observed in 1957-58 have included:

1. Publication in and broadcast by overt Sino-Soviet bloc/UAR media.

Relay of forgery texts once they have been surfaced presents no mystery: As shown on the accompanying charts, they are picked up--usually within twenty-four hours but sometimes after a delay of weeks or months--by overt Bloc/UAR press and radio media.

Verbatim relay to the areas in which further publication is desired is assured by dictation-speed broadcast in the language of the target area. It has also been handled, in one instance that has come to our attention, through publication in the daily press bulletin issued in Prague (in mimeographed form, in English) by the official CHICOM news agency NCNA for distribution to Western and Middle Eastern newspapers. The 25 January 1958 edition of the Prague bulletin of NCNA carried the text of the false HOOVER letter, crediting its original "disclosure" to the East German CP daily Neues Deutschland which had surfaced the forgery on 22 January.

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

2. Use of clandestine Bloc and UAR radios. See charts, Attachments <sup>5</sup>five through <sup>8</sup>eight.
3. Heads of State. There have been two known instances of involvement of heads of State in the distribution of propaganda forgeries:
  - a. Nikita KHRUSHCHEV as advance agent for the BERRY letter.

In the TASS release quoting KHRUSHCHEV's 22 November 1957 interview with three American journalists (William Randolph Hearst, Jr., Frank Conniff and Robert Considine), KHRUSHCHEV was quoted as follows: "I would like to express my views with regard to statements made by certain representatives of military circles and published in the press. It was reported that, allegedly, a part of the American bomber force, with hydrogen and atomic bombs, is constantly in the air and always ready to strike against the Soviet Union. Reports have it that one-half of the planes are in the air. This is very dangerous. Such a situation serves as an illustration of the extent of the military psychosis in the United States. When planes with hydrogen bombs take off that means that many people will be in the air piloting them.

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

There is always the possibility of a mental blackout when the pilot may take the slightest signal as a signal for action and fly to the target that he had been instructed to fly to. Under such conditions a war may start purely by chance, since retaliatory action would be taken immediately. Does this not go to show that in such a case a <sup>war</sup> may start as a result of a sheer misunderstanding, a derangement in the normal psychic state of a person, which may happen to anybody? Such a horrible possibility must be excluded. It may be that both sides will be against war, and yet war may still start as a result of the military psychosis whipped up in the United States... Even if only one plane with one atomic or one hydrogen bomb were in the air, in this case, too, it would not be the government but the pilot who could decide the question of war."

Some five months later, on 7 May 1958, the East German CP daily Neues Deutschland surfaced the forged "BERRY letter": an alleged report by Assistant Defense Secretary Frank B. BERRY to Defense Secretary Neil McElroy, stating that 67.3 percent of

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

all U.S. Air Force flight personnel had been found to be psychoneurotic, and providing detailed "confirmation" of the danger mentioned by KHRUSHCHEV. As noted on the chart (Attachment seven) this "confirmation" was amplified by the addition of examples on 17 June, 3 July and 15 September 1958.

- b. President NASSER of the UAR dusts off the "PROTOCOLS OF THE ELDERS OF ZION." The KHRUSHCHEV role as advance man for the BERRY letter may reflect later use of his published remarks as a source of ideas, rather than prior planning. NASSER's role in re-launching the hoary forgery known as the PROTOCOLS OF THE ELDERS OF ZION (see comment on Czarist Russian origin, at end of Chart <sup>8</sup> eight) was forthright. In late September 1958, Blitz director R. K. KARANJIA, q.v. in Paragraph <sup>8</sup> eight below, stopped in Cairo on his way back to India after attending the World Peace Council meeting in Stockholm. The highlight of the Cairo stop was his interview with President NASSER, carried in the UAR press and on RADIO CAIRO on 29 September 1958 and on RADIO DAMASCUS, RADIO BAGHDAD (and again

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

on RADIO CAIRO) on 30 September 1958, and published in KARANJIA's weekly Blitz on 4 October. All of these media carried this section of the interview:

KARANJIA: "...The whole business of 'Middle-Easting,' as I call it, by the Western Powers, is mixed up with their notorious bogey of NASSER dressed up as the Arab HITLER. This figment of the imagination which drove EDEN into a hysterical fit of war has now become the machine of an organized propaganda campaign...

NASSER: Really I wonder how educated people--so-called civilized people--can be fooled by such nonsense! HITLER could do what he did because his was the strongest and most industrialized power in the world. We are weak. We are underdeveloped. Consequently the parallel is absurd. We have to look elsewhere for the causes and sources of such propaganda. It is mainly organized by Israelis and Zionists who want to create hatred against us. They constitute the real

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

threat of new Hitlerism, not we! A distinguished French journalist explained to me recently how France is becoming a Zionist colony. Americans complain that their propaganda is run by Zionists, so do the British.

KARANJIA: That is very extraordinary, Sir.

NASSER: I wonder if you have read a book called PROTOCOLS OF THE LEARNED ELDERS OF ZION.

It is very important that you should read it. I will give you an English copy. It proves clearly, to quote from the PROTOCOL, that "Three hundred Zionists, each of whom knows all the others, govern the fate of the European continent and they elect their successors from their entourage... Zionism and Imperialism work hand in glove with one another...."

4. Diplomatic Channels. As noted on the attached charts, the second and third quarters of 1958 turned up six reports of the use of Embassy officials in relaying propaganda-forgery campaigns (one

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

apparent rumor-campaign relay by a Soviet Embassy official in Paris, two "relay launchings" by the Soviet Embassy in London, three instances of relay by UAR Embassies--in Prague, Bonn and New Delhi respectively).

5. Intelligence Channels. The two instances of forgery-campaign material appearing in Western intelligence reports earned their place on the attached charts more or less by accident: both happened to be received from liaison services as intelligence reports (given a very low evaluation in one case, none at all in the other) and to be disseminated as such. There are undoubtedly other cases of use or attempted use of intelligence channels in relaying these campaigns, in which the "reports" in question have been discarded as fabrications or have been relegated to operational or other files in a context which has not made them

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

8. Non-CP Press. Misinformation articles and forgeries are occasionally surfaced or replayed unwittingly by publications over which there is no Bloc or UAR control, since it occasionally happens that an agent succeeds in palming them off on an unsuspecting editor as authentic news material. This may have occurred in the PAKISTAN PRESS ASSOCIATION incident <sup>N</sup>mentioned in SECTION III-A above, and in the single case in which the Indonesian press agency ANTARA is known to have been used. A glance at the full list of newspapers known to have taken part in the surfacing and relay of the 32 forgery campaigns of 1957-58, suggests, however, that there is little element of chance in selection of the vehicles outside the Bloc and UAR in which propaganda forgeries are presented as authentic news items. Nine non-CP newspapers have been used
- Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP78-00915R001200080004-6
- in the 1957-58 campaigns. They are:

transmitting forgery texts for surfacing in non-Bloc newspapers,  
in relay of copy for facsimile publication outside the Bloc, of the  
agent identities and arrangements through which non-Bloc surfacing  
is assured, etc. It seems probable that actual delivery of  
manuscripts for non-Bloc surfacing may be handled, at least in  
part, by mailing the copy to the publication concerned. This  
method is known to be used in supplying run-of-the-mill propaganda  
copy to Soviet-subsidized newspapers in Pakistan. Not all of the  
newspapers known to be subsidized to print routine propaganda  
releases carry ~~the~~ material in the misinformation and forgery  
category, however, and it cannot be assumed that the  
distribution methods used for an overt Soviet Embassy handout  
on the joys of life in Tadjikistan would also be used to transmit copy  
or engraving blocks of a forged "U.S. State Department cable."  
Another method which is probably used for re-publication of

9. CP press outside Sino-Soviet Bloc

Headquarters investigation of non-Bloc CP press handling of the forgeries has so far extended only to checking on the appearance of the BERRY letter and its "U. S. Pilot letter" follow-up in the London Daily Worker and in the French CP daily l'Humanite.

Both papers limited their coverage to publication of the non-Communist press agency releases, complete with the warning adjectives mentioned above. Neither made any effort to elaborate upon the press-agency releases or to sell the propaganda therein as authentic.

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

the coverage noted here is not wide enough to permit any  
conclusions to be drawn. (2) Sept 1957

10. Combinations of above methods

In 1957 the only known methods of delivery of the forgery to its target were official Bloc propaganda media, rumor, and Blitz. In the course of 1958 all of the other methods and media discussed above were used. Thus, there seems to be an increasing tendency to use multiple channels involving both overt and covert assets for promotion of propaganda forgeries.

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

SECTION V.

SURFACING AND REPLAY - TRANSMISSION PATTERNS

- A. Attachments <sup>5</sup>five through <sup>8</sup>eight show, in chart form, the surfacing and replay channels used for known Soviet bloc/UAR propaganda forgeries in 1957 and the first three quarters of 1958. (Listing on the charts is in chronological order of surfacing, for individual forgeries, and in order of launching the first in the series when several documents or alleged documents have been used in the course of a single propaganda campaign.) It should be noted that replay of some of the 1957 forgeries continued into 1958.
- B. The East German forgeries discussed in SECTION II-B above are not shown on the charts because their volume is so great that detailed listing is impracticable in a discussion of the international forgery-relay picture as a whole. At least one of these forgeries has been picked up, however, for Soviet propaganda relay: On 25 April 1958 RADIO MOSCOW broadcast to France, in French, a long summary of the content of the latest leaflet of the "KAMPFVERBAND FUER EIN UNABHAENGIGES DEUTSCHLAND" (q.v. in discussion of HVA operations, Section II-B-1), with a

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

warning of the danger West Germany in general and this "West German organization" in particular presented to France. Some of the forgeries listed on the charts may be products of the East German operations, brought into the international picture by their replay in media of the USSR or other Bloc countries. That surfacing in East German media does not necessarily imply East German operational origin is suggested, however, by a fragmentary and unevaluated report received by a [REDACTED] 25X1A2d1 representative in Berlin in June 1958.

- C. It frequently happens that surfacing of a propaganda forgery is picked up by the non-Communist news agencies (AP, UP, REUTERS, AFP, etc.) as of news interest. Since publication in this context always

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

includes whatever official denials have been issued and, in their absence, warns readers through the use of such terms as "alleged," "purported," "ostensible," etc., it cannot be considered as propaganda replay, and media carrying such articles are not considered in this discussion. Attachments <sup>5</sup>five through <sup>8</sup>eight show all known instances of surfacing and replay in which the forgeries are presented as authentic documents. With the exception of the single known case of replay by local CP newspapers which is discussed in Section IV, paragraph 9 above and the one instance in which the Indonesian news agency ANTARA relayed a forgery (Section IV, paragraph 8 above), the thirty-two forgeries have been promoted as authentic documents through channels which fall into only four categories:

Soviet-bloc channels

*J.C.*  
CHICOM channels

UAR channels (Government-censored overt press and radio; government-run clandestine radio; Egyptian Ambassador in Bonn; Egyptian Embassies in Prague and New Delhi; President NASSER in Cairo)

Nine pro-Soviet bloc newspapers outside the Soviet bloc and

UAR. (Listed in Section IV, paragraph 8 above).

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

During 1957 and the first quarter of 1958 the UAR (existing as Syria and Egypt until early 1958) did not enter the international relay picture. The suddenness of its entry on the international distribution scene and the extent of its present cooperation with the Soviet bloc in surfacing and replay are indicated by the following summary of the surfacing/replay pattern of the sixteen forgeries known to have been given international distribution from January 1957 through September 1958. While the period of UAR participation has not been long enough for any definite comment on trends, a point which may be worth noting in the summary is the apparent tendency, beginning in June 1958, toward replacement of <sup>h.e.</sup> CHICOM media by those of <sup>the</sup> UAR in distributing propaganda forgeries.

(This replacement occurred, of course, during a period in which Soviet bloc propaganda was concentrated to a high degree upon the Middle East.) The debut of RADIO BAGHDAD, in two instances which may herald the addition of a fifth category to the above list, should be noted at the end of Attachment <sup>8</sup> eight.

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

## International Surfacing and Replay Pattern of 1947-68 Propaganda Percept.

Document	Soviet Bloc Channels	CHICOM Channels	UAR Channels	Other
REPLAY letter	<u>SURFACING &amp; REPLAY</u>	REPLAY	0	REPLAY (BLITZ & AL-JAFAR)
memorandum	<u>SURFACING &amp; REPLAY</u>	REPLAY	0	REPLAY (BLITZ)
ISRAELI STAFF PLOT	REPLAY	0	0	<u>SURFACING</u> (by rumor in France & REPLAY (false intel report in Italy & publ. in
AIPO cables	REPLAY	REPLAY	0	<u>SURFACING</u> (BLITZ)
REPLAY letter	<u>SURFACING &amp; REPLAY</u>	REPLAY	0	0
plot	REPLAY	REPLAY	0	<u>SURFACING</u> (uniden. Indonesian)
REPLAY letter	<u>SURFACING &amp; REPLAY</u>	REPLAY	0	REPLAY (BLITZ & DELHI TIME)
REPLAY letter	REPLAY	REPLAY	0	<u>SURFACING</u> (THE MIRROR) & REPLAY (PIMANG TITUS, ANA DELHI TIME)
Lebanon as "Christian Israel"	REPLAY	0	REPLAY	<u>SURFACING</u> (BLITZ)
memorandum on Summit	<u>SURFACING &amp; REPLAY</u>	REPLAY	0	0
STAN CLOVO	REPLAY	0	0	<u>SURFACING</u> (direct rail, union & REPLAY (pro-regime Czech in U.S. & CP press Austria)
July MOUTREE circ.	REPLAY	0	<u>SURFACING &amp; REPLAY</u>	REPLAY (BLITZ)
BAGHDAD PACT plot	REPLAY	0	<u>SURFACING</u>	0
Aug BRITISH TREATY with IRAN	<u>SURFACING</u>	0	REPLAY	0
"JOHN H" letter	REPLAY	REPLAY	0	<u>SURFACING</u> (Beirut AL-JAFAR, reportedly close to Cairo)
Sept ZION PROTOCOLS	0	0	<u>SURFACING &amp; REPLAY</u>	REPLAY (BLITZ & RADIO BAGHDAD)

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

D. Comparison of the surfacing methods observed in 1957 with those used in 1958 shows two changes during the first three quarters of 1958. The first is the addition of UAR press and radio media to the number of available surfacing points, and the second is the increasing tendency toward diversity in methods of surfacing forgeries outside the Soviet bloc and UAR. These changes are shown in the following tabulation of the surfacing points used for the 32 propaganda forgeries observed in 1957-58"

Year	Soviet Bloc		UAR			Other Areas
	Overt Media	Covert	Overt Media	Covert	Govt.	
1957	2	0	0	0	0	6 (5 in press- <u>Blitz</u> 1 by other means-rumor campaign, Paris)
1958	5	1*	6	1*	1**	10 (5 in press- 2 in the <u>Mirror</u> , 1 in <u>Blitz</u> , 1 in Beirut <u>Al-Masaa</u> , 1 in unidentified Indonesian paper) 5 by other means - 2 direct to individuals; 1 by mail to Czech emigre newspaper subscription list; 1 report to a Western IS from unknown source; 1 on RADIO BAGHDAD)
Totals	7	1*	6	1*	1**	16

( \* Clandestine radios in East Germany and the Syrian Region of UAR, respectively.

\*\* President NASSER of UAR, in interview with director of Blitz

Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP78-00915R001200080004-6

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

E. Tabulation of the number and kinds of outlets used for replay of the propaganda forgeries shows the same 1958 developments as in the surfacing pattern discussed above: addition of the Government-controlled press and radio of the UAR to the list of replay outlets, and increase in the number and kinds of outlets used. Observation of the replay outlets brings out two other points which may be worth noting: the use of Soviet and UAR diplomatic missions as replay outlets in 1958; and the fact that while <sup>l.c.</sup> CHICOM facilities have not been used for surfacing any of the forgeries they are used as replay outlets for forgeries surfaced in the Soviet Bloc and the UAR. The variety and increasing complexity in replay outlets used for the 1957 and 1958 forgeries is shown in the following summary table:

(see page 75)

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

Overt Press and Radio	Covert	Int. channels (overt)	Overt Press and Radio	Overt Press and Radio	Covert	Int. channels
USSR: (Tass, Pravda, RADIO MOSCO W)  E. Germany: (Neues Deutsch- land, Adn, Deutschlander- sender)  Czechoslovakia: (Rude Pravo)  Rumania (Radio Bucharest, Scintela)	0	0	NCNA (news agency) Radio Peking	0	0	Press: Al Qabas, Blitz LaPatrie  Covert: 1 report to a Western IS, source unknown
USSR: (as above, plus Izvestia)  E. Germany: (as above)  Czechoslovakia: (as above, plus CTK)	Sov Emb Paris	Sov Emb, London	As above, plus Prague edition of NCNA press bulletin	Press: (Al-Masaa, Al-Akhar, Al-Ahram, The Egyptian Gazette, Akhar Al-Yaum) Radio & News Agency: MENA Radio: Cairo radio Radio Damas	Clandestine radio Jordan People's Radio & Voice of Free Lebanon	UAR Emb Prague, New Delhi & Bonn  Press: Blitz The Mirror, Bintang Timur Berita Minggu Delhi Times Al-Masaa, Beirut (on Czech forgery SVOBODNE CESKOSLOVENSKO in US & CP papers in Austria and Luxembourg) Radio: Prague